Control Plan: The steering plan for process-reliable manufacturing

The control plan is a central quality assurance document that systematically defines how a manufacturing process is monitored and controlled. For each process step, it documents the characteristics to be controlled, the measurement methods, the inspection scope and the defined reaction plans in the event of deviations. In industrial procurement, the control plan is an important assessment criterion in particular when qualifying suppliers, as it demonstrates that the supplier aims to systematically prevent defects rather than merely detect them.

As of October 2026 · amplifa-marketplace.ai/en/glossary/control-plan

Key facts at a glance

01 The control plan documents process steps, inspection characteristics, measuring equipment, sample sizes and reaction plans across the entire product life cycle.

02 It is an integral part of the Advanced Product Quality Planning (APQP) methodology and is frequently required in the automotive and supplier industries.

03 Typical formats follow the AIAG standard, which is also associated with the process FMEA and the Initial Sample Inspection Report (ISIR).

04 The plan goes through several versions: from the prototype through the pre-launch to the series production control plan.

05 In procurement, it serves as evidence of process capability and as a basis for supplier audits.

06 Deviations from the control plan without documented approval are deemed a material defect in practice in complaints and 8D reports.

Definition: control plan

A control plan is a structured document that defines how a manufacturing process is controlled so that products consistently meet the required specifications. It combines the findings from the process FMEA with concrete inspection and monitoring measures on the actual process. It is therefore not a static form but a living steering instrument that must be updated with every process change.

Structure and typical columns

A typical control plan in AIAG format contains columns for process step, equipment, special and significant characteristics to be inspected, tolerances, assessment/measurement methods, sample size and inspection frequency, control method and the reaction plan. In addition, the corresponding process number from the flow diagram and the reference to the process FMEA are frequently stated. This linkage ensures that every high risk level identified in the FMEA also has a concrete control measure in the plan. If this assignment is missing, it is a classic audit finding.

- Process number and process step from the flow diagram
- Special characteristics (SC) and significant characteristics (CC) with identification
- Measuring equipment including capability evidence (MSA)
- Sample size and inspection interval
- Reaction plan in the event of limit value violations

Distinction from FMEA and inspection plan

The process FMEA analyses potential failures and their risks before they occur; the control plan transfers the actions derived from it into daily practice. The inspection plan, by contrast, primarily describes the final inspection of a product, while the control plan covers the entire process from goods receipt to dispatch. In practice, all three documents are regarded as an interconnected package: a change in the FMEA typically entails an adjustment to the control plan. Purchasers should therefore always check the consistency of these documents in supplier audits.

Stages of the control plan in the product life cycle

The control plan accompanies a product through all development and series production phases. By default, three stages are distinguished, which differ in scope and inspection intensity. This phase separation is mandatory in particular for customers in the automotive industry and is reviewed there as part of the Production Part Approval Process (PPAP).

Prototype and pre-launch phase

The prototype control plan describes the measures for producing samples, typically with 100 percent inspection and extended measurements, as process knowledge is still limited. In the pre-launch phase, increased inspection scopes are defined to confirm series production capability, for example additional first article inspections and the Initial Sample Inspection Report (ISIR) procedure. Purchasers should already agree at this stage on which data the supplier must submit on transfer to series production. Capacity reconciliation with the supplier and logistics concepts are often also contractually fixed in parallel.

Series production control plan and change management

The series production control plan defines regular operation with economical sample sizes and ongoing statistical process control (SPC). Every production or product change – such as new tooling, a

different raw material supplier or an altered production line – requires an update and usually renewed approval by the customer. Without documented change approval, the process is deemed no longer validated. Purchasers should stipulate in the framework agreement that changes only take effect with written consent, comparable to provisions on the Approved Vendor List (AVL) for purchased parts.

Significance for industrial procurement

For purchasers, the control plan is more than a quality engineering document: it is an effective risk mitigation instrument in supplier evaluation and contract negotiation. A comprehensible plan reduces the risk of failure, lowers complaint costs and creates a binding basis for audits and approvals. Especially for critical components, the submission and acceptance of the control plan should be an integral part of supplier qualification.

Supplier selection and audit

When qualifying new suppliers, the control plan reveals the maturity of the quality system. Purchasers or SQEs (Supplier Quality Engineers) check in the audit whether the measuring equipment named in the plan actually exists and is capable, whether employees know the inspection plans and whether reaction plans are actually applied. Discrepancies between the documented and the actual process are a frequent audit finding. In practice, it has become established to assess the control plan together with the Approved Vendor List (AVL) and the process FMEA as an inspection package.

Contractual anchoring

The control plan should be referenced contractually as a valid document, for example with version status and change notification service. Agreements on notification obligations in the event of deviations, on cost absorption for unapproved changes and on the right to unannounced process audits are sensible. Purchasers can additionally require that key figures from process monitoring – such as Cp/Cpk values or scrap rates – are reported regularly. This data typically flows into the annual review with the supplier and into the ongoing supplier evaluation.

Key KPIs relating to the control plan

The effectiveness of a control plan can be measured using metrics used both by the supplier internally and by the customer in the supplier evaluation. What is essential is that the metrics are derived from the controls defined in the plan and are not collected independently of it. In practice, a few consistently pursued metrics prove more valuable than a large number of isolated measurements.

Process and quality metrics

Key metrics are the process capability indices Cp and Cpk for special characteristics, the defect rate in PPM (parts per million) and the share of planned inspections that were actually carried out. For special characteristics, customers usually demand enhanced process capability, typically Cpk >= 1.33 in ongoing operations and higher values at initial approval. In addition, audit findings and the effectiveness

of corrective actions (8D report) provide indications of actual practice. These metrics are also suitable as a basis for discussion in the annual review with strategically important suppliers.

Metrics in the procurement context

From a procurement perspective, the costs of quality deviations are also of interest, such as rework and sorting costs, which are frequently assessed via a functional cost calculation or a total cost of ownership analysis. The duration of approval cycles – from the change request to the updated control plan version – is also a practical indicator of the supplier's responsiveness. Those comparing several suppliers can incorporate these metrics into outsourcing analyses and make-or-buy decisions. In this way, quality management links directly with procurement strategy and budgeting.

Risks and controls in practice

A formally correct control plan does not guarantee defect-free production – what matters is its lived implementation. Typical risks arise from outdated versions, lack of training, unavailable measuring equipment or reaction plans that exist on paper but have never been trained. Purchasers and quality experts should address these risks in a targeted manner.

Common weaknesses

Recurring patterns emerge in audits: inspection intervals are stretched in practice, reaction plans define blocking mechanisms that do not physically exist, or the plan was not updated at the last production relocation. Generic plans copied for several products that do not reflect the specific special characteristics are also problematic. Such findings indicate weak quality management and should be weighted in the supplier evaluation. In the event of repeated findings, this can lead to deductions of inspection costs or contractual penalties.

Typical metrics

Metric	Typical value
Process capability Cpk (special characteristics)	>= 1.33 *typical benchmark in series production*
Defect rate	< 100 PPM *industry-dependent, significantly stricter in automotive*
On-time control plan updates	> 95 % *share of plans updated on schedule*

Practical example

A medium-sized mechanical engineering company sources precision-forged housing parts from a new supplier. After initial delivery fluctuations with dimensional deviations on a special characteristic, purchasing demands the submission and joint revision of the series production control plan. It emerges that the supplier only carries out the 100 percent inspection specified in the plan on a sampling basis and that the reaction plan provides no blocking mechanism.

- Joint update of the process FMEA and the control plan with identification of the special characteristic
- Mandatory SPC records with weekly reporting to purchasing
- Unannounced process audits anchored in the supply contract and conducted for the first time within three months
- Result documented in the supplier evaluation and taken up as a topic in the annual review

Conclusion

The control plan is the link between failure analysis and lived process control and thus a central document for process-reliable manufacturing. For purchasers, it offers an objective basis for assessing the quality competence of suppliers and an effective instrument in supply chain risk management. What matters is less formal completeness than the consistent integration with the process FMEA, inspection plans and reaction provisions. Those who actively use the plan in change management, audits and supplier evaluations demonstrably reduce complaint costs. Investment in the joint maintenance of this document pays off across the entire product life cycle.

Frequently asked questions

? What is a control plan in simple terms?

A control plan is a document that defines how a manufacturing process is monitored: which characteristics are inspected, how, how often, and what to do in the event of deviations. It is typically derived from the process FMEA and accompanies the product from the prototype through to the series production phase. In doing so, it ensures consistent quality regardless of shift, personnel or site.

? What belongs in a control plan according to AIAG?

The AIAG standard provides for columns covering process number, process step, equipment, inspection characteristics including special and significant characteristics, tolerances, measurement and test methods, sample size, inspection frequency, control method and reaction plan. In addition, cross-references to the process FMEA and the flow diagram are maintained. The format may vary slightly from customer to customer; however, the substantive scope remains largely the same.

? Who creates the control plan?

Responsibility usually lies with the quality team of the manufacturing supplier, often with involvement from production, engineering and metrology. On the customer side, the document is typically reviewed by supplier quality (SQE) or the purchasing department and released as part of the sample approval process. For critical parts, both parties work on the plan jointly, especially where special characteristics with increased requirements are affected.

? What is the difference between a control plan and an FMEA?

The FMEA is a forward-looking risk analysis: it identifies potential failures, assesses their risks and derives actions. The control plan implements these actions and describes the concrete inspection and monitoring activities in ongoing operations. The two documents are linked – any change to one usually affects the other.

? When must a control plan be updated?

Any significant process or product change requires an update: new tooling, a different raw material supplier, a relocated production line or a changed inspection methodology. Audit findings and recurring deviations are also triggers. Without documented customer approval, the process is deemed no longer validated in practice – a point purchasers should safeguard contractually.

? Is a control plan mandatory?

There is no general statutory obligation. In the automotive and its supplier industries, the control plan is de facto mandatory via IATF-oriented management systems and customer requirements (for example in the PPAP process). Comparable process control plans are also required in medical technology and aerospace. In other industries it is voluntary, but it has become established as best practice.

Find the right suppliers on Amplifa

Verified industrial suppliers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Describe your requirement and receive comparable offers.

amplifa-marketplace.ai