Warranty claims: buyer's rights in the event of defects and their successful enforcement

Warranty claims are the contractual and statutory rights of a buyer when delivered goods or services do not have the agreed quality. For technical buyers in the B2B sector, they are a central instrument of risk mitigation, particularly for capital goods, components and supply parts with high requirements. Those who know their rights and deadlines, embed safeguards in contracts and document defects professionally can enforce supplier quality effectively and avoid costly downtime.

As of October 2026 · amplifa-marketplace.ai/en/glossary/gewaehrleistungsansprueche

Key facts at a glance

01 In practice, warranty claims typically include subsequent performance (repair or replacement delivery), rescission, price reduction and, where applicable, damages.

02 The statutory limitation period for the purchase of movable goods is frequently two years from delivery; in the B2B sector, deviating contractual provisions are common and permissible.

03 For buildings and building materials, a limitation period of five years typically applies in Germany.

04 Notice periods under commercial law require the buyer to report obvious defects immediately upon receipt and hidden defects immediately upon discovery.

05 Before subsequent performance, the buyer must grant the supplier a reasonable deadline for repair or replacement delivery.

06 A clear quality agreement and a documented incoming inspection are the most important prerequisites for the successful enforcement of claims.

Definition: warranty claims

Warranty claims denote the buyer's rights against the seller when the delivered goods exhibit a defect at the time of transfer of risk or assured characteristics are not fulfilled. They are to be distinguished from damages, which require additional prerequisites such as fault or an assumption of guarantee. In the B2B environment, the statutory provisions are frequently supplemented or modified by general terms and conditions of purchase and individual quality agreements.

Legal basis at a glance

In Germany, Sections 434 et seq. of the German Civil Code (BGB) for purchase contracts and Sections 633 et seq. BGB for contracts for work form the basis; in the European context, differing national provisions must be observed. What is primarily relevant for buyers is which claims have been agreed: subsequent performance, rescission, price reduction, reimbursement of expenses for repair costs, and in practice frequently damages in lieu of performance. Since national deviations are considerable, the applicable law and jurisdiction should be checked for international suppliers.

Warranty versus guarantee and damages

Warranty merely presupposes a defect at the time of transfer of risk, without any fault on the part of the seller having to be proven. A guarantee is a voluntary, contractually assumed commitment by the manufacturer or supplier, for example regarding a specific functional duration, and often offers more rights than the statutory warranty. Claims for damages, by contrast, additionally require a breach of duty with fault or a guarantee of quality, and are therefore tied closely to causation and points of evidence.

Types of defects and the role of the incoming inspection

Hardly any claim can be enforced without sound defect documentation. The systematic differentiation of defect types and a structured incoming inspection therefore form the foundation of every quality deviation.

Types of defects and their assessment

A distinction must be made between manufacturing defects, design or development errors, material defects, and assembly or documentation defects. In addition, short quantities, wrong deliveries and defective accompanying documentation are relevant, which also fall under delivery deviations in practice. The assessment is frequently carried out using a system of severity levels, which also specifies whether an immediate block of the material, a 100% special inspection or a controlled shipment with permitted defect slip-through is required.

Incoming inspection and documented notice of defect

The scope of the agreed incoming inspection determines when a defect is considered not reported in time. For safety-relevant parts or A-characteristics, 100% inspection is customary; for uncritical parts, sampling is often sufficient. The notice of defect should contain batch numbers, inspection findings,

photos and, if applicable, measurement reports and be transmitted to the supplier via a defined escalation process. Hidden defects must be reported immediately upon discovery; in practice, the term “immediately” is measured more in days than in weeks.

Methods and approach: securing claims contractually

The best time to strengthen warranty rights is at the conclusion of the contract – not in defence against defects. Buyers should systematically anchor warranty issues in the request for quotation, the benchmarking of offers and the contract.

Leveraging contractual scope for design

In the B2B sector, limitation periods and notification obligations can be shaped contractually; typical provisions include extended warranty periods for A-parts, rights of recourse along the supply chain in the event of serial defects, and the assumption of replacement and installation costs. Safeguards such as sureties, retentions or works contract values also serve to secure claims. Whether a clause is valid in the individual case and compatible with the law on standard terms and conditions should be reviewed legally.

Supplier qualification and quality assurance

Warranty risks are best reduced pre-contractually: through structured supplier evaluation, qualification of only vetted sources on the Approved Vendor List (AVL) and clear drawing and specification references. A quality assurance agreement (QA agreement) mandatorily defines the scope of inspection, evidence documentation, complaint processes and response times. Especially for critical materials or single-source items, a reserve concept is part of risk management.

Organising the complaint process in day-to-day operations

In practice, a standardised 8D report has proven its worth, with which the supplier demonstrates root cause analysis, immediate containment measures and permanent corrective actions. A clear allocation is important: who blocks the material, who evaluates the complaint, who sets the deadline for subsequent performance, and who documents the proof of performance of the repair? Only in this way are legally robust records and reliable data for the supplier evaluation created.

Key KPIs relating to warranty and quality deviations

Warranty management can only be controlled if complaints, costs and supplier responses are measurable. The metrics also serve as the basis for the annual supplier review and further supplier development.

Quality and process metrics

Key metrics are the complaint rate per supplier and component, the defect classification by severity level, and the supplier's response time to an initial notification. In practice, a supplier response within

24 to 48 hours is considered a good guideline, and the final 8D processing should typically be completed within two to four weeks. The proportion of complaints processed on time and in full is also a common target metric.

Costs and traceability

In addition to quality metrics, the chargeable defect costs count: repair and sorting costs, downtime and replacement costs, and expenses for special transports. These costs should be invoiced to the culpable supplier on a cost-recovery basis. Clean material master data maintenance and complete batch traceability make it possible to precisely identify affected quantities and follow up on blocked stocks and rework orders.

Risks and controls: typical mistakes in enforcement

Many claims fail not due to a lack of rights, but due to formal, deadline or documentation errors. Deliberate controls in the process significantly reduce this risk.

Missed deadlines and premature notices

The most common mistake is the late notification of obvious defects, for example when goods go straight into production without being inspected. A second mistake is the premature self-remediation of the defect without having granted the supplier a reasonable deadline for subsequent performance and preserved the opportunity to inspect. In both cases, the claim may be forfeited or the proof of damage made more difficult.

Control mechanisms in the procurement process

Effective controls include: binding inspection plans with documented inspection scopes, automatic reminders of notification and limitation deadlines in the ERP, approval principles for defect decisions, and a systematic supplier evaluation with escalation levels. For cross-border replacement deliveries, logistical conditions such as customs documents – for example an ATA Carnet for sample shipments – and the allocation of transport costs in the contract must also be clarified. Whether a clause is valid in the individual case should be reviewed legally.

Current developments: supply chain, data excellence and digital quality systems

Warranty management is changing as a result of more transparent supply chains and digital quality processes. Buyers gain leeway to detect defect risks earlier and enforce claims on a data-driven basis.

Recourse in the supply chain and resilience

When suppliers themselves source upstream products from upstream tiers, the question of recourse along the chain arises. Extended warranty and recourse clauses as well as defined information obligations in the event of upstream defects are therefore gaining in importance – especially for critical

materials, where failures jeopardise the entire production. A reliable lead-time buffer in planning and up-to-date procurement risk management provide additional support.

Digitalisation of the complaint chain

Digital quality systems link measurement data, complaints and supplier evaluation in a single data set; uploaded measurement reports and photos are assigned directly to the order. Maintained reference data and a consistent material master are prerequisites for complaints being automatically assigned to the correct supplier and item. AI-supported text classification increasingly helps to pre-classify complaints and identify patterns across multiple suppliers.

Typical metrics

Metric	Typical value
Complaint rate per supplier	< 500 ppm *Common guideline value in series production; varies considerably depending on the industry and component class.*
Supplier response time to initial notification	< 48 h *Common target for initial response with immediate containment measures in practice.*
8D report closure rate	> 95 % *Proportion of complaints closed within a defined processing time.*
Recharged defect costs	> 90 % *Proportion of documented rework and special costs that could be invoiced to the supplier.*

Practical example

A medium-sized mechanical engineering company discovers a systematic dimensional deviation on hardened guide slides from a supplier during commissioning of a customer machine. Because the component was agreed as an A-characteristic with a mandatory 100% inspection, the batch is immediately blocked, an 8D report is triggered and the supplier is given a deadline for a replacement delivery. Since the quality agreement defines rework and special transport costs as chargeable, the manufacturer can recharge these expenses in full. After repeated deviations, a structured supplier development takes place at the annual supplier review; in parallel, a second source is qualified on the Approved Vendor List (AVL).

- Immediate material blocking with batch traceability and measurement reports as a sound proof of performance
- Deadline for subsequent performance with a clear findings package and 8D request

- Recharge of sorting, rework and special transport costs in accordance with the quality agreement
- Downgrade the supplier rating and qualify a second source

Conclusion

For technical buyers, warranty claims are not a marginal issue but an essential building block of supplier and quality risk management. Three levers are decisive: clear contractual provisions on deadlines, scope and cost allocation, a documented incoming and process inspection, and a structured complaint and escalation process. Those who consistently translate defect consequences into the supplier evaluation create incentives for lasting improvement rather than one-off goodwill. Since legal issues in detail depend on the individual case, critical contract clauses should be reviewed legally before signing. In this way, warranty claims evolve from mere deviation management into an effective steering instrument of procurement.

Frequently asked questions

? How long does the warranty last for industrial components?

For movable goods, German law typically provides for a limitation period of two years from delivery; for buildings and building materials, it is typically five years. In the B2B sector, contractually extended or shortened periods are common, for example three to five years for A-parts. The decisive factor is always the specific agreement in the purchase contract or the terms and conditions of purchase.

? What must be included in a notice of defect?

An effective notice of defect should include the item and batch number, affected quantities, a concrete description of the defect, inspection findings or measurement reports, and a reference to the order. Photos and traceability data significantly improve evidentiary value. The notice should be documented and submitted in a verifiable manner – for example via a defined portal or with confirmation of receipt.

? What does subsequent performance mean, and when can I withdraw from the contract?

Subsequent performance includes, at the buyer's choice, repair or a replacement delivery; the supplier must be granted a reasonable deadline for this. Only once this deadline has expired without success, the subsequent performance has been refused, or it has obviously failed, can rescission or price reduction be considered. In addition, claims for damages may exist if the relevant requirements are met.

? Who bears the costs for repair and return transport?

In principle, the seller bears the costs of subsequent performance, particularly in the case of justified notices of defect; in the B2B sector this is frequently specified contractually, for example regarding the assumption of removal and installation costs for installed parts. For special transports and returns, an express clause on the allocation of transport costs is recommended. In the event of

a dispute, the contractual provision decides each individual case.

? Can the warranty be contractually excluded in B2B?

A complete exclusion of liability for material defects is conceivable in the B2B sector under strict conditions, but quickly reaches its limits, for example in cases of intent or with standard terms and conditions. In practice, therefore, reductions of the warranty periods, restrictions on the right to cure, or caps on replacement services are frequently agreed. The validity of such clauses depends on the individual case and should be reviewed legally.

? What role does the incoming inspection play in my claims?

The incoming inspection determines which defects you must report in good time. Anyone who passes goods directly into production without an agreed inspection point risks obvious defects being deemed reported too late. A written definition of the scope of inspection – from sampling to 100% inspection, depending on the severity of the component – creates legal certainty for both parties.

Find the right suppliers on Amplifa

Verified industrial suppliers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Describe your requirement and receive comparable offers.

amplifa-marketplace.ai