Incoterms CIF: correctly governing costs, risk and responsibility in sea freight

CIF (Cost, Insurance and Freight) is one of the classic Incoterms for transport by sea and inland waterways. When buying 'CIF port of destination', the seller bears the costs and freight up to the port of destination and takes out transport insurance, while the risk already passes upon loading on board. For technical buyers, the correct application of the term is crucial because responsibility for costs and the bearing of risk diverge, and mistakes here can prove expensive.

As of October 2026 · amplifa-marketplace.ai/en/glossary/incoterms-cif

Key facts at a glance

01 CIF means: the seller pays costs, freight and insurance up to the named port of destination.

02 The risk passes as soon as the goods are placed on board the ship at the port of shipment – not only at the port of destination.

03 CIF is intended exclusively for sea and inland waterway transport; CIP is the appropriate term for containers and multimodal transport.

04 The seller's insurance obligation covers only a minimal standard level of cover; higher cover must be agreed by the buyer.

05 Import formalities, import duties and onward carriage from the port of destination are borne by the buyer.

06 CIF is typically suitable for bulk goods and conventional sea freight, less so for containerised general cargo shipments.

Definition: Incoterms CIF

CIF stands for 'Cost, Insurance and Freight' and is one of the Incoterms published by the International Chamber of Commerce, the internationally valid rules for interpreting delivery clauses. The respective

current version (Incoterms 2020) governs who bears transport costs, risks and obligations such as customs clearance between seller and buyer. The clause is always used with a named port of destination, for example 'CIF Hamburg Incoterms 2020'.

Cost allocation under the CIF term

Under the CIF term, the seller bears the costs of carriage to the port of shipment, the sea freight to the named port of destination and taking out transport insurance for the buyer's benefit. Export customs clearance in the country of origin is also the seller's responsibility. From the port of destination onwards, import clearance, import duties, taxes and the costs of unloading or onward carriage pass to the buyer. In practice, unloading costs at the port of destination are often already included in the freight rate; contracts should expressly clarify this to avoid disputes.

- Seller: inland transport, export clearance, sea freight, basic insurance
- Buyer: import clearance, duties, unloading (unless otherwise agreed), onward transport from the terminal

Risk allocation as the key point

The peculiarity of CIF lies in the discrepancy between the transfer of costs and of risk: the risk passes as soon as the goods are placed on board the ship at the port of shipment – i.e. significantly earlier than the transfer of costs at the port of destination would suggest. If the goods are damaged during the sea voyage, the buyer bears the risk but must seek recourse through the insurance taken out by the seller. This construction developed historically from the bulk goods trade and is not intuitive for many buyers, which is why it is frequently used misleadingly in terms and conditions of purchase.

Distinguishing CIF from CIP, CFR and FOB in comparison

To avoid mistakes with the delivery clause, procurement must clearly distinguish CIF from the neighbouring terms. All the clauses mentioned relate to transport but differ in mode of transport, insurance obligation and risk point. A wrong choice directly affects procurement costs, customs tariff classification and insurance costs.

CIF versus CIP

CIP (Carriage and Insurance Paid To) is the further development of CIF for all modes of transport, including air freight, rail and multimodal container chains. The key difference: under CIP, the seller must take out a higher standard level of cover, whereas minimal protection suffices under CIF. Containerised machinery parts or components that pass through several modes of transport from the works to the port of destination therefore belong under CIP – not CIF. Anyone agreeing CIF for a multimodal transport creates a clause that does not cover the actual process.

CIF versus CFR and FOB

CFR (Cost and Freight) corresponds to CIF without the seller's insurance obligation; the risk likewise passes on board. FOB (Free on Board) ends with loading on board at the port of shipment – buyers

organise sea freight and insurance themselves and thus have full control over freight costs and level of cover. Buyers with their own framework freight agreements and economies of scale in transport volume frequently work with FOB in order to access consolidated rates. CIF, by contrast, is recommended where the seller has better freight terms or the buyer has no logistics organisation of its own in the country of origin.

Typical use cases

CIF plays to its strengths with bulk goods such as steel, raw materials or break bulk that are shipped conventionally by general cargo vessel or bulk carrier. CIF can also make sense for suppliers in distant sourcing markets where the buyer has no import expertise of its own, because the seller handles export processing. With nearshoring and shorter, multimodal chains, however, the term loses relevance. The choice of clause should be part of the procurement strategy and supplier selection – not a habitual decision carried over from order forms.

Methods and approach: applying CIF correctly in the procurement process

The correct handling of CIF requires coordination between procurement, logistics, customs and insurance. The term is fixed on a binding basis within the Source-to-Contract (S2C) process and must be used identically throughout the request, quotation, order and accompanying documents.

Anchoring the clause in request and quotation

Already in the quick-request process or a structured tender, the buyer should specify the desired delivery clause so that quotations remain comparable. Comparing quotations based on different Incoterms massively distorts prices: a CIF price includes freight and insurance, an FOB price does not. The only safe reference is the three-letter clause plus named place plus version year, e.g. 'CIF Hamburg Incoterms 2020'. Vague formulations such as 'CIF Europe' or omitting the version regularly lead to disputes over interpretation.

- Always state the clause with a named port of destination and version year
- Compare quotations only on a uniform clause basis
- Formally request deviations in the quotation and correct them in the order

Documentation and master data

The agreed clause must appear consistently in the purchase order, commercial invoice, packing list and transport documents. In the procurement master data process, the standard Incoterm should be stored per supplier and shipping method so that requisitioners do not have to select it manually. For framework agreements such as a Delivery Schedule Agreement or call-off order, the clause is fixed once on a binding basis and applies to all call-offs unless otherwise agreed. This consistency reduces queries, misdeclarations and delays in import clearance.

Checking insurance and level of cover

The CIF insurance cover taken out by the seller covers only the minimum scope by default and typically 110 per cent of the value of the goods under the applicable insurance conditions. For high-value plant, machinery or electronics, this protection is often insufficient. The buyer should therefore check whether additional cover is required and expressly enshrine this in the contract. Alternatively, the company's own insurance programme can be used, which is often cheaper for larger import volumes and achieves synergies in insurance costs across several suppliers.

Key KPIs and cost aspects in CIF business

CIF shipments can be managed with the same metrics as other import business, but require some specific perspectives because responsibility for costs and risk may lie with procurement. The figures are best assessed over longer periods and per supplier or transport route.

Cost-oriented metrics

In addition to the pure goods price, procurement should consider the so-called landed costs, i.e. goods value plus freight, insurance, duties and import clearance. Only then can CIF quotations be compared fairly with FOB or EXW quotations. Key metrics are the share of logistics costs in the purchase value, the development of freight rates per route and the deviation between calculated and invoiced costs. A look at total cost of ownership, supplemented by inventory and lead-time effects, shows whether the supposedly favourable CIF price is actually advantageous.

Delivery and quality metrics

Typical metrics are on-time delivery, the lead time from dispatch to availability at the place of destination, and the damage rate per shipment. Since the risk passes on board under CIF, documenting transport damage – in particular prompt inspection upon arrival – is a prerequisite for successful insurance claims. Those who systematically evaluate CIF business recognise patterns, for example on certain routes, ports or packaging qualities, and can derive supplier discussions from them. The ABC analysis of the supplier system helps to concentrate intensive monitoring on the high-volume CIF relationships.

Risks and controls: typical sources of error with CIF

The most common mistake around CIF is using it for modes of transport for which the term is not intended, followed by misunderstandings about the risk point. Both can be prevented with clear processes and control mechanisms.

Wrong mode of transport and interpretation errors

If CIF is agreed for container or air freight, a grey area arises: the clause actually states that the risk passes on board – but for container terminal deliveries, this point in time is open to interpretation. The consequence is either unnecessary residual risk for the seller or dispute in the event of a claim. Control

measure: procurement defines binding clauses per mode of transport, for example CIP for multimodal and containerised consignments, CIF only for conventional sea freight. A short checklist in order forms significantly reduces the error rate.

Contractual and insurance gaps

Risks arise when the level of cover of the seller's insurance is not checked or buyers misjudge the risk point contrary to the advice of their own insurer. Currency risks are also overlooked: for international CIF business, an exchange rate clause is recommended if the quotation and payment currencies differ or lead times are long. Payment terms such as 2/10 net should also be contractually fixed so that the early-payment discount is not jeopardised by the clause. For ongoing business relationships, Incoterm review belongs in the supplier audit plan and in regular contract reviews.

Customs and compliance aspects

The buyer is responsible for import: it must ensure correct customs tariff classification, verify proof of origin and handle import VAT. Under CIF, the commercial invoice and freight documents are held by the seller, which facilitates submission to customs – but substantive responsibility remains with the importer. Errors in tariff classification or in preference documentation lead to back payments and delays that can blow the planned lead time from BANF to PO to goods receipt posting. A functioning interface between procurement, freight forwarder and customs broker is therefore part of every import organisation.

Current developments around CIF and sea freight

The use of CIF is influenced by several developments: the Incoterms 2020 raised the insurance obligation under CIP and thereby strengthened CIF as a deliberately simple term; at the same time, freight market dynamics, digitalisation and sustainability requirements are changing the assessment of this clause in procurement.

Freight market and pricing

Sea freight rates are subject to considerable fluctuations due to capacity supply, port situations and geopolitical events. Under CIF, the seller bears this freight risk and will factor it into prices or price adjustment clauses accordingly; under FOB, the risk lies with the buyer. Procurement should therefore consciously decide which side should take the speculation and align this decision with its own procurement strategy. For long-term contracts with volume-intensive imports, organising freight oneself often enables better conditions and plannability.

Digitalisation and process integration

Transport documents, insurance certificates and customs data can increasingly be exchanged electronically, which speeds up the handling of CIF shipments and makes reference data available for evaluations. Digital freight bookings and track-and-trace reduce manual queries and improve transparency along the chain. For procurement, this creates benefits in procurement process

optimisation, for example through automated goods receipt preparation and shorter posting times. Integration with service providers such as a 3PL partner or own import agents further reduces the coordination effort.

Sustainability and due diligence

Emissions data for transport are increasingly becoming part of procurement decisions and reporting obligations. Under CIF, the seller controls the transport, which makes data collection difficult for the buyer – an argument in favour of FOB or CIP with own transport control. Companies that must meet supply chain due diligence obligations benefit from greater transparency over the transport route in any case. The choice of clause should systematically take these sustainability and compliance aspects into account in future.

Typical metrics

Metric	Typical value
On-Time Delivery	> 95% *typical benchmark for reliable import suppliers; procurement should intervene below this level*
Share of logistics costs in the purchase value	5–15% *often at the upper end for overseas imports; monitor the trend over several periods*
Damage rate per shipment	< 1% *particularly relevant under CIF, as the buyer bears the sea voyage risk and settles it via insurance*
Lead time from dispatch to goods receipt	20–40 days *usual benchmark for overseas sea freight; basis for inventory and scheduling planning*

Practical example

A medium-sized machinery manufacturer had previously sourced components from an overseas region standardly on 'CIF' terms – but in practice containerised and multimodal. After a transport claim that the minimalist seller's insurance only covered in part, the company systematically revised its delivery clauses.

- Switching containerised imports from CIF to CIP with an agreed increased level of cover
- Recording the binding standard Incoterm per supplier in the master data process
- Including clause review in the audit plan for key import suppliers
- Establishing an own framework freight agreement with economies of scale for high-volume general

cargo

Conclusion

CIF is a proven term for conventional sea freight that assigns cost and insurance obligations to the seller up to the port of destination, yet lets the risk pass on board. This imbalance between costs and risk makes the clause risky for thoughtless use, particularly for container and multimodal transport, for which CIP is intended. Buyers should make the choice of clause consciously, carry out quotation comparisons on a uniform basis and actively check the scope of insurance. Anyone who anchors CIF systematically in the master data process, contracts and supplier management avoids disputes and unnecessary costs. The right choice of Incoterm is therefore not a formality but a building block of a functioning import procurement function.

Frequently asked questions

? What does the Incoterm CIF mean?

CIF stands for Cost, Insurance and Freight and means that the seller bears the costs of transport, sea freight and transport insurance up to the named port of destination. However, the risk passes as soon as the goods are on board the ship at the port of shipment. Import clearance, duties and onward transport from the port of destination are the buyer's responsibility.

? Who bears the insurance under CIF?

The seller must take out transport insurance for the buyer's benefit. However, the standard level of cover required is minimal and typically limited to around 110 per cent of the value of the goods. For higher-value goods, the buyer should arrange additional cover or insure them under its own insurance programme.

? When does the risk pass under CIF?

The risk passes when the goods are placed on board the ship at the named port of shipment. This is significantly earlier than the transfer of costs at the port of destination would suggest. Damage during the sea voyage must therefore be settled by the buyer through the insurance, not through the seller.

? Is CIF suitable for containers and air freight?

No, according to the Incoterms, CIF is only intended for sea and inland waterway transport and is designed for conventional, non-containerised loading. For container and multimodal transport, CIP is the appropriate term, which also requires a higher level of insurance cover. Using CIF outside sea freight regularly leads to interpretation problems.

? What is the difference between CIF and FOB?

Under FOB, the seller's obligation ends with loading on board at the port of shipment; the buyer organises sea freight and insurance themselves. Under CIF, the seller arranges freight and

insurance up to the port of destination. Buyers with their own framework freight agreements often choose FOB in order to retain cost control and better rates.

? Which costs does the buyer bear under CIF?

The buyer bears import clearance, import duties and taxes as well as the costs of arrival at the port of destination, unless otherwise agreed – in particular unloading and onward transport. Storage and handling costs after arrival are also borne by the buyer. Ideally, contracts should clarify which unloading costs are already included in the sea freight rate.

Find the right suppliers on Amplifa

Verified industrial suppliers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Describe your requirement and receive comparable offers.

amplifa-marketplace.ai