Supplier Status: Systematic Evaluation and Classification of Suppliers

Supplier status describes the systematically determined evaluation and classification of a supplier along qualitative and quantitative criteria such as delivery performance, quality, financial strength and compliance. It serves buyers as a basis for supplier segmentation, approval decisions and the management of the supplier base. A transparently maintained status creates decision certainty for new supplier onboarding, assortment and sourcing decisions as well as in risk management.

As of October 2026 · amplifa-marketplace.ai/en/glossary/lieferantenstatus

Key facts at a glance

01 Supplier status consolidates a supplier's quality, delivery performance, cost, compliance and risk capability into a single evaluation.

02 In practice, school-grade or point systems with typically four to five status levels from preferred to blocked have proven effective.

03 The status should be updated at least quarterly and linked to procurement KPIs such as fill rate and supplier evaluation.

04 Status changes are usually tied to defined thresholds and documented approval processes.

05 A clean supplier status is a prerequisite for effective measures such as safe launch, safety capacity or moving to an e-auction.

Definition: supplier status

Supplier status is the current, documented evaluation and approval state of a supplier in a company's procurement system. It consolidates results from supplier evaluations, audits, certifications and operational procurement KPIs into a form usable for decisions.

Distinguishing it from supplier evaluation

Supplier evaluation is the periodic measurement of performance, typically along the criteria quality, delivery, cost and service. Supplier status, by contrast, is the state derived from it – for example 'preferred', 'approved', 'monitored' or 'blocked'. The evaluation provides the data basis, the status forms the decision level. Only the combination of both elements turns metrics into action-relevant consequences such as multi-sourcing, order stops or development measures.

Status levels in practice

Four to five levels have become established in industry. Typical are: new supplier (in qualification), approved (standard status), preferred supplier (preferential treatment in tenders), criticised supplier (under observation, with action plan) and blocked (no new orders). The levels should be underpinned by clear criteria and transition rules so that status changes remain traceable and do not depend on individual persons.

Methods and approach for determining status

A robust supplier status results from a defined combination of data sources, evaluation logic and approval process. It is important that criteria, weighting and thresholds are fixed in writing and jointly supported by procurement, quality and, where applicable, development.

Criteria and weighting

Common criteria blocks are delivery quality (e.g. complaint rate, PPAP or series release status), delivery reliability (fill rate, adherence to deadlines), commercial performance (price level, budget adherence), financial stability and compliance topics such as export control and sustainability requirements. Typical weightings in practice are around 30–40 % quality, 30 % delivery, 20 % cost and 10–20 % soft facts, with manufacturing-intensive industries increasing the quality share.

Data sources and instruments

The basis is provided by goods receipt and quality data, supplier self-declarations, certificates, audits and runtime reports from the supplier portal. For the risk dimension, payment behaviour, credit reports and news about the supplier supplement the evaluation. Clean maintenance of dispatch parameters also ensures that performance data such as order volume and forecast quality are correctly assigned to the right supplier. Spend analytics helps to correctly gauge a supplier's relevance to total revenue.

Approval and escalation process

Status changes should follow a defined process with responsibilities: automatic suggestions from the evaluation system, review by the responsible buyer, decision in the supplier meeting for critical cases. For new suppliers, the initial classification takes place after trial orders or after run at rate and safe launch in production. Blocks always require a documented reason and a defined review for reinstatement.

Key KPIs for supplier status

Without measurable KPIs, supplier status remains subjective. The following procurement KPIs form the practical core basis of status determination and should be calculated uniformly across all suppliers in the supplier base.

Delivery and quality KPIs

Key metrics are the fill rate (proportion of line items delivered completely and on time), delivery reliability against the delivery schedule agreement, and the complaint and scrap rates. In addition, order confirmation behaviour and response times to enquiries indicate reliability in day-to-day operations. For series parts, the time to series release is added as an indicator of development capability.

Commercial and structural KPIs

On the commercial side, budget adherence, price development compared with the market price and success in e-auction procedures as a benchmarking clause reference count. Structurally relevant are the supplier's share of procurement volume (concentration risk), the number of alternative sources per commodity group and adherence to forecast information – here, one can separate the company's own forecast errors from the supplier's delivery weaknesses. Order rate per catalogue and compliance with framework agreements show whether agreed terms are actually being used.

Risks, controls and action consequences

Supplier status is only as valuable as the consequences attached to it. Every status level should be linked to defined measures – from preferential treatment to termination of the business relationship.

Measures per status level

Preferred suppliers receive volume shifts, early involvement in tenders and access to the sourcing pipeline. Standard suppliers are assessed on an equal footing via a structured tender questionnaire. Criticised suppliers receive an action plan with deadlines, while blocked suppliers are only used for remaining quantities. For critical single sources, safety capacity or a business continuity plan (BCP) is additionally recommended before shortages occur.

Typical sources of error

Common weaknesses are outdated statuses (updated only ad hoc), a missing distinction between strategic importance and performance, and opaque transition rules. An excessive share of 'preferred' status also devalues the system – in practice, this share should typically be well below one third of the active supplier base. Finally, insufficient system support jeopardises traceability: statuses in Excel lists without history are hard to defend.

Contractual safeguards

The status should be supported contractually. Relevant components are audit and information rights, provisions on force majeure and its notification, agreements on spare parts and capacities, and benchmarking clauses for price adjustments. For export control-relevant goods, evidence and supply chain checks are additionally a fixed part of the status criteria. All formulations should be legally reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Current developments and digitalisation

Supplier status is increasingly managed digitally. Supplier portals, integrated procurement KPIs and external risk data enable faster and more granular classification than traditional half-yearly Excel evaluations.

Automation and real-time data

Modern systems continuously calculate status values from goods receipt, quality and financial data instead of maintaining them manually only quarterly. Supplier portals allow suppliers to maintain partial data themselves – such as certificates, capacity information or BCP evidence – which narrows the currency gap. Automatic alerts when thresholds are breached are increasingly replacing pure period-based reviews.

Risk, resilience and sustainability

Supply chain disruptions in recent years have strengthened the risk dimension in supplier status. Criteria such as single-source risk, geographical concentration, BCP capability and safety capacity are entering the evaluation. At the same time, sustainability and compliance evidence are moving into the status, driven by regulatory due diligence obligations in the supply chain. Supplier status is thus developing from a performance scoreboard into an integrated risk and compliance instrument.

Typical metrics

Metric	Typical value
Fill Rate	> 95–98 % *Typical threshold for 'preferred' status; below this, downgrading is likely.*
Complaint rate	< 1–2 % *Typical guideline value in the manufacturing industry; to be calibrated by sector.*
Delivery reliability	> 95 % *Proportion of on-time deliveries against the delivery schedule agreement.*

Share of preferred suppliers	< 20–30 % *Higher shares devalue the differentiation of the status system.*
Update cycle	quarterly *Supplemented by automatic alerts when thresholds are breached.*

Practical example

A mid-sized mechanical engineering company with around 350 active suppliers introduced a four-tier supplier status calculated monthly from fill rate, complaint rate and order confirmation behaviour. Within one year it became apparent that one fifth of volume was attributable to suppliers in 'criticised' status – concentrated on a few critical component groups. The procurement function initiated targeted measures instead of indiscriminately shifting volume.

- Definition of uniform thresholds for status changes with involvement of quality and logistics
- Action plans with 90-day deadlines for all criticised suppliers, including safe launch for new parts
- Building safety capacity and alternative sources for the most critical single sources
- Quarterly supplier meeting in which status changes are documented and linked to supplier segmentation

Conclusion

Supplier status translates distributed performance data into a clear decision logic for technical procurement. Its value depends less on the granularity of the scale than on defined criteria, regular updates and genuine consequences per level. Combined with procurement KPIs such as fill rate, audits and contractual instruments, it becomes the steering instrument for the entire supplier base. Those who interlink status, supplier segmentation and risk management reduce failure risks while simultaneously strengthening their negotiating position. The prerequisite is active maintenance – a status set once becomes outdated quickly.

Frequently asked questions

? What is supplier status?

Supplier status is the documented evaluation and classification of a supplier, for example as preferred, approved, criticised or blocked. It is based on measurable criteria such as quality, delivery reliability, cost and compliance. Buyers use it to systematically manage award decisions, measures and risks.

? Which criteria feed into supplier status?

Typical criteria are delivery quality (complaints, series release), delivery reliability (fill rate, delivery schedule agreement), price and cost performance, financial stability and compliance topics such as export control and sustainability evidence. The weighting should be defined by industry and

commodity group and documented in writing.

? How often should supplier status be updated?

In practice, a quarterly formal update has proven effective, supplemented by automatic alerts when thresholds are breached. Shorter cycles make sense for critical suppliers or ongoing action plans. What matters is that status changes are traceably documented and linked to defined consequences.

? What does the status 'preferred supplier' mean?

Preferred suppliers receive preferential treatment, such as early involvement in tenders, volume shifts and prioritised project allocation. The status should be tied to outstanding and consistently met performance criteria. Too high a share of preferred suppliers devalues the differentiation of the system.

? What happens when a supplier is blocked?

Blocked suppliers receive no new orders but typically continue to run for remaining quantities and open orders in order to avoid supply gaps. The block should include a documented reason, a legal review of existing contracts and a defined review for reinstatement. In parallel, alternative sources or safety capacity must be secured.

? What is the difference between supplier status and supplier segmentation?

Supplier segmentation classifies suppliers by strategic importance into categories such as strategic, capable or transactional. Supplier status, by contrast, assesses the current performance and approval state. The two instruments complement each other: segmentation determines the level of attention, while status determines concrete award and measure decisions.

Find the right suppliers on Amplifa

Verified industrial suppliers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Describe your requirement and receive comparable offers.

amplifa-marketplace.ai