Preferred Supplier Policy: status, criteria and implementation in strategic procurement

The Preferred Supplier Policy is an internal rule defining the conditions under which a supplier is classified as a preferred source of supply and the privileges it receives in the procurement process. It creates a binding basis for supplier selection, order placement and collaboration in both operational and strategic procurement. For buyers, it reduces search and verification effort; for suppliers, Preferred Supplier status is a tangible growth lever. This article explains the structure, criteria, KPIs, risks and practical implementation of such a policy in the industrial Mittelstand (mid-market).

As of October 2026 · amplifa-marketplace.ai/en/glossary/preferred-supplier-richtlinie

Key facts at a glance

01 The Preferred Supplier Policy defines tiered criteria for quality, delivery performance, price and compliance and assigns suppliers to status classes.

02 In practice, Preferred Suppliers receive privileges such as early requests for quotation, framework agreements, forecast access and a higher share of orders.

03 The basis is typically a supplier evaluation system with regular reviews and defined downgrade criteria.

04 The status does not replace award process rules; in public tenders, formal requirements remain unaffected.

05 In practice, 20 to 40 per cent of the supplier base are often maintained as Preferred Suppliers, covering the majority of the purchasing volume.

06 Digital tools such as a supplier portal and guided buying rules support the enforcement of the policy in day-to-day business.

Definition: Preferred Supplier Policy

The Preferred Supplier Policy is a written, documented set of internal rules defining how suppliers are qualified, managed and downgraded as preferred sources of supply. It forms part of the supplier strategy and links supplier release with clear awarding preferences in the ordering process.

Distinction from other supplier status classes

A multi-tiered model is common: certified supplier, approved supplier (Approved), Preferred Supplier and often a strategic partner at the highest level. The Preferred Supplier meets all baseline requirements and exceeds benchmarks for quality, on-time delivery and willingness to cooperate. Strategic partners typically differ through joint development projects, long-term contracts and sometimes capital ties. Important for buyers: every tier needs its own rights and obligations, otherwise the model loses its binding character in day-to-day practice.

Legal and procedural classification

The policy is internally binding but does not override statutory procurement obligations. For public sector customers or situations sensitive under competition law, preferential treatment must remain competition-fair; if in doubt, the design must be legally reviewed on a case-by-case basis. In the private sector, the policy should document when a direct call-off to the Preferred Supplier without competitive quotes is permitted and when a tendering process with several bidders is mandatory. This allows the preferential treatment to be justified at any time to management and internal audit.

Criteria and admission to the Preferred Supplier circle

Admission follows a structured qualification process that presupposes supplier release and subsequently examines economic and additional operational criteria.

Typical criteria blocks

Typically evaluated are: product and process quality (e.g. certifications, freedom from first-sample rejections, complaint rate), delivery performance (on-time delivery, flexibility in the event of schedule changes), commercial criteria (total costs, price stability, payment terms), technology and manufacturing competence relative to the required level of in-house production, as well as sustainability and compliance, for example along the lines of the EU taxonomy. In addition, financial ratios and supplier stability are assessed, derived from early-warning indicators such as payment behaviour or staffing situation.

- Quality: certificates, complaint rate, first sample approval
- Logistics: on-time delivery, response time, capacity reserves
- Compliance: ethics, whistleblowing system, EU taxonomy relevance

Qualification and release process

In practice, admission proceeds in several stages: initial evaluation via a supplier portal or

self-registration, document review, audit for critical commodity groups, trial orders with defined KPIs, then formal release by an interdisciplinary panel of procurement, quality, engineering and, where applicable, finance. This supplier release is documented and repeated periodically. Only after a successful probation period does the supplier receive Preferred status together with an allocated volume. This protects against circumvention through personal relationships in day-to-day procurement.

Privileges and reciprocal commitments

Preferred Suppliers typically receive early requests for quotation before the broad tendering process, involvement in forecast and demand planning, access to purchase requisition systems and preferential participation in bidder discussions. In return, binding commitments are agreed: price competitiveness over the term, capacity commitments, participation in innovation and reporting obligations. This reciprocal relationship makes the status valuable and should be documented in writing in a supplier agreement, often supplemented by rules on automation such as automatic account assignment in invoice processing.

Methods and approach for implementation

The policy only takes effect if it is embedded in systems and processes. A combination of category management, digital guidance of requisitioners and regular review cycles has proven effective.

Anchoring in category management

Target supplier splits are defined per commodity group, for example 70 per cent of volume to Preferred Suppliers, 20 per cent to approved suppliers as backup and 10 per cent as an open field for innovation and price comparison. Governance within category management regulates who approves deviations and how exceptions are documented. On this basis, buying guides are created for requisitioners, showing approved sources of supply per article. The splits are reviewed in review cycles using procurement benchmarking and adjusted in response to market changes.

Digital enforcement in the ordering process

In e-procurement systems and a supplier portal, Preferred Suppliers are stored at article level. Guided buying rules automatically direct requisitioners to the preferred source and only permit deviations with justification and approval. Purchase requisition proposals from MRP systems also draw on this source determination. The result is a compliant purchase order without manual verification effort. Clean master data management is essential: without consistent article-supplier assignments, the policy remains ineffective in operational business.

Review cycles and downgrading

Typically, Preferred Suppliers are evaluated annually, and semi-annually for critical commodity groups. Triggers for ad-hoc reviews are early-warning indicators such as rising complaints, payment difficulties or capacity bottlenecks. The policy must clearly regulate which scores lead to downgrading or blocking and which process, with a grace period and discussion, accompanies the status change.

Re-qualification after a pause or a product change also belongs in the policy, for example for new sample submissions required by an Engineering Change Notice (ECN).

Key KPIs for Preferred Supplier management

Metrics demonstrate whether the status is justified and provide the basis for upgrading and downgrading in the review.

Operational performance indicators

Key metrics are on-time delivery (OTD), quality (PPM, complaint rate), schedule and quantity flexibility as well as response times to enquiries. In addition, lead times, spare parts supply and resilience per site are assessed. These KPIs are aggregated per supplier per quarter and visualised in scorecards, ideally automated from ERP and quality data. A scorecard without consistency of data sources creates disputes, so the measurement methodology should be stipulated in the policy.

Economic and strategic indicators

Economic metrics include total cost per unit, price deviation from the market benchmark, the supplier's share of volume in the commodity group and order and invoice process costs. Strategic evaluation covers innovation contributions, willingness to invest at the site and the diversification ratio of the commodity group. Across all suppliers, the KPI Preferred Supplier share of purchasing volume shows whether the strategy is working. The review results feed into the following year's target agreements and the award planning of the tendering process.

Risks, controls and compliance

Preferential treatment creates dependencies and vulnerabilities. The policy must therefore actively address supply failure risks, conflicts of interest and traceability.

Dependency and supply failure risks

Excessive volume concentration on a few Preferred Suppliers can jeopardise supply security, for example in the event of payment problems, fire at the supplier's site or export restrictions. The counterbalance is backup suppliers with actively maintained framework conditions, dual sourcing for critical articles and defined transition rules in the event of downgrading. Payment security instruments are also part of the toolkit: advance payments for new suppliers, retention for defects or securing large international transactions via letters of credit and forwards & swaps for currency risks.

Compliance, transparency and conflicts of interest

The policy should document how preferences come about and enforce a separation of evaluation and awarding. A whistleblowing system enables reports of improper supplier treatment. For international payments, controls such as IBAN verification apply to guard against invoice fraud. All status decisions are recorded in an audit-proof manner, including rationale and approver. This makes it possible to

demonstrate at any time in an audit that Preferred does not mean unchecked preferential treatment.

Current developments: digitalisation, resilience and sustainability

Three trends are changing the management of Preferred Suppliers: greater automation, a stronger focus on resilience and growing sustainability requirements.

Automation and AI in supplier management

Supplier portals and e-procurement platforms automate registration, certificate monitoring and scorecards. AI-supported analyses detect patterns in complaints or delivery delays and provide early-warning indicators in real time. Guided buying guides requisitioners through the policy in a compliant manner without creating training effort. At the same time, the administrative burden on suppliers decreases, which increases willingness to participate, especially among mid-sized suppliers. The prerequisite remains a clean data foundation and clear responsibilities between procurement, IT and the user departments.

Resilience and sustainability as status criteria

Supply chain due diligence obligations and sustainability reporting are expanding the criteria blocks: suppliers must demonstrate site transparency, audit readiness and decarbonisation roadmaps. Resilience is made measurable, for example by assessing dependence on upstream suppliers or regions. For fragile commodity groups, Preferred status recedes in favour of a multi-supplier model. The policy should therefore be drafted flexibly regarding which criteria may vary per commodity group, rather than enforcing a uniform minimum standard across all categories.

Typical metrics

Metric	Typical value
On-time delivery (OTD)	> 95% *typical minimum value for Preferred Suppliers in standard materials*
Complaint rate	< 500 PPM *usual guideline value depending on the commodity group, stricter for critical parts*
Preferred supplier share of volume	60–80% *target split per category, backup sources remain in place*
Orders placed outside the policy	< 5% *measure of the enforcement of guided buying rules*

Review compliance for supplier scorecards	> 98% *proportion of Preferred Suppliers evaluated on time per year*

Practical example: mechanical engineering company introduces a Preferred Supplier Model

A mid-sized mechanical engineering company with around 800 active suppliers introduced a Preferred Supplier Policy because requisitioners frequently purchased outside framework agreements and delivery quality fluctuated. After one year, noticeable consolidation was achieved while maintaining stable supply.

- Introduction of a three-tier status model (Approved, Preferred, Strategic) with annual scorecard evaluations based on ERP and quality data.
- Preferred Suppliers stored in the e-procurement system with guided buying rules; deviations only with written approval.
- Target split of 70 per cent of volume to Preferred Suppliers for critical standard parts, with backup suppliers maintaining up-to-date framework prices.
- Quarterly reviews with early-warning indicators and defined downgrade criteria; two suppliers temporarily blocked and re-qualified.

Conclusion

The Preferred Supplier Policy translates supplier strategy into binding day-to-day business: it defines who is supplied on a preferred basis, why, and with which rights and obligations. It only becomes effective through clear criteria, measurable KPIs, regular reviews and digital enforcement in the ordering process. At the same time, it needs balancing mechanisms against dependencies and documented decision paths for compliance and audit. Companies that combine both reduce procurement costs, improve quality and on-time delivery and retain room for manoeuvre in the face of market changes. The policy is therefore not a static document but a continuously maintained steering instrument of procurement.

Frequently asked questions

? What is a Preferred Supplier Policy?

An internally binding set of rules defining the criteria under which suppliers are classified as preferred sources of supply and the privileges they receive. It typically covers the qualification process, evaluation criteria, status classes, review cycles and downgrade rules. This makes the supplier strategy binding for all requisitioners.

? Which criteria must a Preferred Supplier meet?

Typical criteria are above-average quality (complaint rate, certificates), high on-time delivery

performance, competitive total costs, financial stability and compliance evidence including sustainability. In addition, willingness to cooperate, capacity reserves and innovation contributions are assessed. Each company defines the specific criteria and thresholds per commodity group in its policy.

? How does a Preferred Supplier differ from an Approved Supplier?

An Approved Supplier is qualified and released but only meets the minimum requirements. A Preferred Supplier exceeds the benchmarks and receives privileges in return, such as early requests for quotations, a higher share of orders and access to forecasts. Both levels are part of a tiered supplier model with clear rules for moving up and down.

? How many suppliers should a company maintain as Preferred Suppliers?

In practice, the share is typically 20 to 40 per cent of the active supplier base, which often accounts for 70 to 90 per cent of the purchasing volume. Volume concentration matters more than the number of suppliers: for critical commodity groups, backup suppliers should be actively maintained in order to limit supply failure risks.

? How does a supplier become a Preferred Supplier?

The route leads via supplier release, trial orders with defined KPIs and a formal admission decision by an interdisciplinary panel of procurement, quality and the user department. After the probation period expires, the status is documented and stored in the system. Regular reviews and early-warning indicators ensure the status remains up to date.

? May a company give preferential treatment to suppliers?

In B2B business with private sector customers, yes, provided the preferential treatment is documented, traceable and designed in a competition-fair manner. For public sector customers, procurement law and formal procedures apply, which severely restrict preferences. The specific design should be legally reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Find the right suppliers on Amplifa

Verified industrial suppliers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Describe your requirement and receive comparable offers.

amplifa-marketplace.ai